

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/541,753                                  | 07/08/2005     | Katsuhiko Higashino  | Q88807                  | 3898            |
| 23373 75                                    | 590 12/15/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| SUGHRUE M                                   | IION, PLLC     |                      | HU, HE                  | NRY S           |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.<br>SUITE 800 |                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                 |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037                        |                |                      | 1713                    |                 |
|   | •              |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2000 | 5 ··            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                |  | 10/541,753  | HIGASHINO ET AL.   |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
|  |  | Henry S. Hu   | 1713   |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |   | correspondence address   |  |  |
| Period fo  | • •  |   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the strong and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON | DN. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre-A  | Amendment of July 8, 2005.  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)[  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                                    | Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-  | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                           |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |
| a)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)).            | tion No<br>red in this National Stage  |  |  |
|  | te of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Summan   | y (PTO-413)  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Infor   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-8-2005</u> .   | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/541,753 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It is noted that USPTO has received **Pre-Amendment** and **IDS** (1 page) both filed on July 8, 2006. **Claims 6-9 were amended**, while no claim was cancelled or added. Such a claim pre-amendment is only to remove the improper multiple to multiple claim dependency. **Claims 1-9** with only <u>one</u> independent claim (Claims 1) are now pending. **No request on** <u>restriction is applied</u>. An action follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/541,753 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

3. The limitation of parent Claim 1 in present invention relates to <u>a crosslinkable elastomer</u> composition for plasma process comprising two components as: (A) <u>a crosslinkable elastomer</u>, and (B) a carbon fluoride filler.

See other limitations of dependent Claims 2-9.

4. Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Minamino et al. (US 6,974,845 B1).

Regarding the limitation of parent Claim 1, Minamino et al. have disclosed an UVcrosslinkable polymer composition to be useful in plasma irradiation process (column 6, line 3940). The composition comprises at least the claimed two components as: (A) polymer resin
or elastomer (see column 2, lines 11-12 and 54) containing iodine and/or bromine in an
amount of 0.001 to 10 weight%, (B) photoinitiator, (C) crosslinking agent, (D) polyfunctional
unsaturated compound, and (E) some filler(s) such as carbon fluoride (column 6, line 28-41;
particularly see line 39-40) (abstract, line 1-6; column 2, line 11 – column 6, line 41). It is noted
that open language "comprising" is applied in parent Claim 1. Therefore, Minamino fully
anticipates the present limitation of parent Claim 1.

5. Regarding Claims 2 and 4, other filler(s) such as sodium silicate and/or aluminum silicate may be used in the composition (column 6, line 34-35).

Art Unit: 1713

Regarding Claim 6, the process of heat treatment on filler in advance may be routine in the art so as to remove volatile impurities and the like.

Regarding Claim 7, various <u>perfluorinated elastomers</u> having iodine and/or bromine are indeed used as component (A) by Minamino (column 2, line 47-67).

Regarding Claims 8 and 9, please molding articles and seal articles made from such a UV-crosslinkable polymer composition at column 7, line 58 – column 9, line 57.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 are rejected 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata et al. (US 5,430,103), Amin et al. (US 5,444,116) or Amin et al. (US 5,461,107), each individually in view of Minamino et al. (US 6,974,845 B1).

Regarding parent Claim 1, each of references including Ohata, Amin "116" and Amin "107" has disclosed a curable composition comprises at least the claimed two components. For instance, see "103" at abstract, line 1-5; column 4, line 10-22; see "116" at abstract, line 1-4; see "107" at abstract, line 1-5. It is noted that <u>fluorographie</u> filler usede by "116" and "107" is equivalent to carbon fluoride filler used by "103".

In a very close examination, each reference is still silent of the application in plasma processing. Minamino has taught such an application as advantage of using the claimed composition. For instance, an UV-crosslinkable polymer composition comprising at least the claimed two components can be very useful in plasma irradiation process (column 6, line 39-40; column 6, line 28-41; particularly see line 39-40) (abstract, line 1-6; column 2, line 11 – column 6, line 41).

In light of the fact that all involving references are dealing with the same or similar crosslinkable polymer composition comprising at least the same claimed two components, one having ordinary skill in the art would therefore have found it obvious to apply the product made from Ohata, Amin "116" or Amin "107"'s crosslinkable composition to be used in

. . . .

Art Unit: 1713

plasma irradiation processing as taught by Minamino with an advantage as more diversified products can be thereby produced.

- 8. The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art of Minamino et al. for Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 of this office action is incorporated here by reference. With disclosures from references and the teaching from Minamino, dependent Claims 2, 4 and 6-9 can be thereby rejected.
- 9. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata et al. (US 5,430,103), Amin et al. (US 5,444,116) or Amin et al. (US 5,461,107), each individually in view of Minamino et al. (US 6,974,845 B1), and further in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 6,610,761 B1).

The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art of Minamino et al. for 102 rejection of Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 of this office action is incorporated here by reference. The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art of Ohata, Amin "116" and Amin "107" and Minamino for 103 rejection of Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 of this office action is also incorporated here by reference. Regarding Claims 3 and 5, each of reference combination is silent of further comprising a synthetic polymer having a main chain with a thermally and chemically stable aromatic ring, and an amide or an imide bond in the main chain. Matsumoto teaches that an acrylic rubber with amide bond and/or aromatic ring can be added together with a crosslinkable fluororubber having iodine (column 2, line 41-42). By doing so, molded product made from

Art Unit: 1713

such a crosslinkable composition is useful for ionizing radiation. Please see abstract, line 1-10; column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 56; particularly see column 3, line 47-50.

In light of the fact that all involving references are dealing with the same or similar crosslinkable polymer composition, one having ordinary skill in the art would therefore have found it obvious to modify Ohata, Amin "116" or Amin "107"'s crosslinkable composition by further adding an acrylic rubber having amide bond and/or aromatic ring in the main chain as taught by Matsumoto with an advantage as such molded product made from such a crosslinkable composition is useful for ionizing radiation. More diversified products can be thereby produced.

10. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minamino et al. (US 6,974,845 B1), and further in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 6,610,761 B1).

The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art of Minamino et al. for 102 rejection of Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-9 of this office action is incorporated here by reference. Regarding Claims 3 and 5, Minamino is silent of further comprising a synthetic polymer having a main chain with a thermally and chemically stable aromatic ring, and an amide or an imide bond in the main chain. Matsumoto teaches that an acrylic rubber with amide bond and/or aromatic ring can be added together with a crosslinkable fluororubber having iodine (column 2, line 41-42). By doing so, molded product made from such a crosslinkable composition is useful

Art Unit: 1713

for ionizing radiation. Please see abstract, line 1-10; column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 56; particularly see column 3, line 47-50.

In light of the fact that both involving references are dealing with the same or similar crosslinkable polymer composition, one having ordinary skill in the art would therefore have found it obvious to modify Minamino's crosslinkable composition by further adding an acrylic rubber having amide bond and/or aromatic ring in the main chain as taught by Matsumoto with an advantage as such molded product made from such a crosslinkable composition is useful for ionizing radiation. More diversified products can be thereby produced.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. The following references relate to a crosslinkable elastomer composition (to be useful for plasma process) comprising two components as: crosslinkable elastomer and carbon fluoride filler:

US Patent No. 6,191,233 B1 to Kishine et al. only discloses the preparation of a vulcanizable elastomer composition can be with a mixture of <u>carbon fluoride filler and a peroxide-curable elastomer</u> (column 2, line 34-37; column 6, line 31-43). However, application to be used in plasma irradiation processing is NOT disclosed or suggested.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,753 Page 9

Art Unit: 1713

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular

communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713, USPTO

December 11, 2006

D Wh

**DAVID W. WU** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700